arXiv:0906.2688v1 [math.AG] 15 Jun 2009

1-POINT GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS OF THE MODULI SPACES OF SHEAVES OVER THE PROJECTIVE PLANE

WEI-PING LI[1] AND ZHENBO QIN[2]

Abstract. The Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism maps the Gieseker moduli space of stable rank-2 sheaves on a smooth projective surface to the Uhlenbeck compactification, and is a generalization of the Hilbert-Chow morphism for Hilbert schemes of points. When the surface is the complex projective plane, we determine all the 1-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants extremal with respect to the Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism. The main idea is to understand the virtual fundamental class of the moduli space of stable maps by studying the obstruction sheaf and using a meromorphic 2-form on the Gieseker moduli space.

1. **Introduction**

Recently there have been intensive interests in studying the quantum cohomology and Gromov-Witten theory of Hilbert schemes of points on algebraic surfaces. Two main reasons are the connections with the Donaldson-Thomas theory of 3-folds and with Ruan's Cohomological Crepant Resolution Conjecture. Roughly speaking, the Crepant Resolution Conjecture asserts that the quantum cohomology of an orbifold Z coincides with the quantum cohomology of a crepant resolution Y of Z after analytic continuation and specialization of quantum parameters. For an algebraic surface X, let $X^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of n-points on X and $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ be the n-th symmetric product of X. It is well-known that $X^{[n]}$ is smooth of dimension $2n$ and the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\Phi: X^{[n]} \to \mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ is a crepant resolution of the global orbifold $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$.

A natural generalization of the Hilbert-Chow morphism Φ is the *Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism* Ψ from the moduli space of Gieseker semistable rank-2 torsion-free sheaves on X to the Uhlenbeck compactification space. This morphism was constructed in [LJ1, Mor], and was shown to be crepant [LJ2, Q-Z] when the Gieseker moduli space is smooth. For the projective plane $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ of Gieseker semistable sheaves V on X with $c_1(V) = -1$ and $c_2(V) = n$ is a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension $(4n-4)$ when $n \geq 1$. In [Q-Z], it is proved that there is exactly one primitive integral class $\mathfrak{f} \in H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ contracted by the Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism $\Psi: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) \to \overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n)$.

The goal of this paper is to determine all the 1-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$, $\alpha \in H^{8n-12}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$ extremal with respect to Ψ for $n \geq 3$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20, 14N35.

Key words and phrases. Gieseker moduli spaces, Gromov-Witten invariants.

[1] Partially supported by the grants GRF601905 and GRF601808.

[2] Partially supported by an NSF grant.

When $n \ge 3$, the homology group $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ is of rank 6, and a basis is given by $\{\Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_6\}$ (see Sect. 4 for details). The Poincaré duals $\mathrm{PD}(\Xi_1), \ldots, \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_6)$ form a basis of $H^{8n-12}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $n \ge 3$. The Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$ for the classes $\alpha = \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_1), \ldots, \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_6) \in H^{8n-12}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ are respectively equal to*

$$-6/d^2, \quad 12/d^2, \quad 0, \quad -6/d^2, \quad 0, \quad 0.$$

When $n = 1$, the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is a point. When $n = 2$, the fourth Betti number b_4 of the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is equal to 3 which is different from the case $n \ge 3$. The result for $n = 2$ will appear elsewhere via a different method (see Remark 3.2).

An interesting observation is that the 1-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$ are independent of the second Chern class n.

Conjecture 1.2. Let $d \ge 1$ and $n \ge 3$. Then the extremal genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$ of the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ are independent of n.

There are two main ideas in our proof of Theorem 1.1. The first one is to determine the restriction of the obstruction sheaf of the Gromov-Witten theory for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ to certain open subset of the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ of stable maps. This enables us to determine the 1-point invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$ for the first four cohomology classes $\alpha = \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_1), \ldots, \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_4)$.

The second one is to study the support of the virtual fundamental class

$$[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_{4n-6}\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\big)$$

using the techniques developed in [K-L, L-L]. By introducing a suitable meromorphic 2-form Θ on the Gieseker moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$, we show that

$$ev_1\Big(\mathrm{Supp}\big([\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})]^{\mathrm{vir}}\big)\Big) \subset \mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n) \coprod \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n) \tag{1.1}$$

where $ev_1 : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f}) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is the evaluation map, and $\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$) is the subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ consisting of all the non-locally free sheaves V such that $V|_{C_0}$ contains torsion (respectively, $V|_{C_0}$ is torsion-free and unstable). This allows us to show that $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = 0$ for $\alpha = \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_5), \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_6)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, the Gromov-Witten theory is reviewed. In §3, we recall some properties of the Gieseker moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ and the Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism Ψ. We study the boundary divisor of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ consisting of non-locally free sheaves in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. In §4, the basis $\{\Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_6\}$ for $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ is constructed. In §5, we analyze the obstruction sheaf of the Gromov-Witten theory for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. In §6, (1.1) is proved. In §7, we verify Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Jun Li for helpful discussions. We also would like to thank the referee for suggestions which helped to remove the condition $n \ge 5$ in the original draft.

2. **Stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants**

Let Y be a smooth projective variety. A k-pointed *stable map* to Y consists of a complete nodal curve D with k distinct ordered smooth points $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ and a morphism $\mu : D \to Y$ such that the data $(\mu, D, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ has only finitely many automorphisms. In this case, the stable map is denoted by $[\mu : (D; p_1, \ldots, p_k) \to Y]$. For a fixed homology class $\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ be the coarse moduli space parameterizing all the stable maps $[\mu : (D; p_1, \ldots, p_k) \to Y]$ such that $\mu_*[D] = \beta$ and the arithmetic genus of D is g. Then, we have the evaluation map:

$$ev_k \colon \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta) \to Y^k \tag{2.1}$$

defined by $ev_k([\mu : (D; p_1, \ldots, p_k) \to Y]) = (\mu(p_1), \ldots, \mu(p_k))$. It is known [F-P, LT1, LT2, Beh, B-F] that the coarse moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ is projective and has a virtual fundamental class $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_{\mathfrak{d}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta))$ where

$$\mathfrak{d} = -(K_Y \cdot \beta) + (\dim(Y) - 3)(1 - g) + k \tag{2.2}$$

is the expected complex dimension of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$, and $A_{\mathfrak{d}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta))$ is the Chow group of $\mathfrak{d}$-dimensional cycles in the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$.

The Gromov-Witten invariants are defined by using the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}}$. Recall that an element $\alpha \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{C}) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)} H^j(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is *homogeneous* if $\alpha \in H^j(Y, \mathbb{C})$ for some j; in this case, we take $|\alpha| = j$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{C})$ such that every α_i is homogeneous and $\sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| = 2\mathfrak{d}$. Then, we have the k-point Gromov-Witten invariant defined by:

$$\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle_{g,\beta} = \int_{[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y,\beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} ev_k^*(\alpha_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_k). \tag{2.3}$$

Next, we summarize certain properties concerning the virtual fundamental class. To begin with, we recall that *the excess dimension* is the difference between the dimension of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ and the expected dimension $\mathfrak{d}$ in (2.2). For $0 \le i < k$, use

$$f_{k,i} : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,i}(Y, \beta) \tag{2.4}$$

to stand for the forgetful map obtained by forgetting the last $(k - i)$ marked points and contracting all the unstable components. It is known that $f_{k,i}$ is flat when $\beta \neq 0$ and $0 \le i < k$. The following can be found in [LT1, Beh, Get, C-K].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Let e be the excess dimension of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$, and $\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ be a closed subscheme. Then,*

(i) $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{vir} = (f_{k,0})^*[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,0}(Y, \beta)]^{vir}$;

(ii) $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{vir} = c_e(R^1(f_{k+1,k})_*(ev_{k+1})^*T_Y)$ *if* $R^1(f_{k+1,k})_*(ev_{k+1})^*T_Y$ *is a rank-e locally free sheaf over the moduli space* $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$;

(iii) $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{vir}|_{\mathfrak{M}} = c_e((R^1(f_{k+1,k})_*(ev_{k+1})^*T_Y)|_{\mathfrak{M}})$ *if there exists an open subset $\mathfrak{O}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ such that $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{O}$ (i.e, $\mathfrak{O}$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathfrak{M}$) and the restriction $(R^1(f_{k+1,k})_*(ev_{k+1})^*T_Y)|_{\mathfrak{O}}$ is a rank-e locally free sheaf over $\mathfrak{O}$.*

3. The moduli space of stable rank-2 sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.1. Some basic facts of the moduli space.

Throughout the rest of this paper, let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ be the projective plane, and let ℓ be a line in X. For an integer n, let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ be the moduli space parametrizing all Gieseker-semistable rank-2 sheaves V over X with $c_1(V) = -\ell, c_2(V) = n$. Note that every such sheaf V is actually slope-stable and hence is Gieseker-stable. It is well-known that, when $n \ge 1$, $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is nonempty, smooth, irreducible and rational with the expected dimension $(4n-4)$; in addition, a universal sheaf over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) \times X$ exists. By the Theorem 1 in [Mar], the cohomology groups $H^i\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z}\big)$ are torsion-free for all i and vanish for odd i. So are the homology groups $H_i\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z}\big)$. Let $b_i(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n))$ be the i-th Betti number of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$, and put

$$p(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); q) = \sum_{i=0}^{8n-8} b_i(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)) q^i.$$

By the Theorem 0.1 of [Yos], $p(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); q)$ equals the coefficient of t^n of the series

$$\frac{1}{(q^2-1) \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{2n(2n-1)} t^{n^2}} \cdot \sum_{b \ge 0} \left(\frac{q^{2(b+1)(2b+1)}}{1 - q^{8(b+1)} t^{2b+1}} - \frac{q^{2b(2b+5)}}{1 - q^{8b} t^{2b+1}} \right) t^{(b+1)^2} \cdot$$
$$\cdot \prod_{d \ge 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{4d-2} t^d)^2 (1 - q^{4d} t^d)^2 (1 - q^{4d+2} t^d)^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

In the rest of the subsection, we review Strømme's work in [Str] and give a basis of $H^4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), \mathbb{Z})$ in terms of the classes from [Str]. A basis of $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), \mathbb{Z})$ with geometric flavors will be constructed in Section 4.

Fix $n \ge 2$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a universal sheaf over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) \times X$, and let π_1 and π_2 be the two natural projections on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) \times X$. For $0 \le k \le 2$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_k = R^1 \pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)).$$

For $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$, denote $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(k\ell)$ by $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(k)$ or $V(k)$. Then,

$$h^0(X, V(-k)) = h^2(X, V(-k)) = 0 \qquad \text{for } 0 \le k \le 2, \tag{3.2}$$
$$h^1(X, V) = h^1(X, V(-2)) = n-1, \quad h^1(X, V(-1)) = n \tag{3.3}$$

by the Proposition 1.5 in [Str]. It follows that the three sheaves $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ are locally free of rank $(n-1), n, (n-1)$ respectively.

Definition 3.1. Let $0 \le k \le 2$ and r_k be the rank of $\mathcal{A}_k$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= c_1(\mathcal{A}_0) - c_1(\mathcal{A}_2), \\ \delta &= n \cdot c_1(\mathcal{A}_0) - (n-1) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{A}_1), \\ \tau_k &= 2r_k \cdot c_2(\mathcal{A}_k) - (r_k - 1) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{A}_k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these classes ϵ, δ, τ_k are independent of the choices of the universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) \times X$. Let $K_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}$ be the canonical class of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$, $\mathfrak{M}(n)$ be the open subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ parametrizing stable bundles, and

$$\mathfrak{B} = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) - \mathfrak{M}(n). \tag{3.4}$$

By the Theorem in [Str], $\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n))$ is freely generated by ϵ and δ, and

$$K_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)} = -3\epsilon, \quad \mathfrak{B} = n\epsilon - 2\delta. \tag{3.5}$$

Also, $a\epsilon + b\delta$ is ample if and only if $a, b > 0$, and $\mathfrak{B}$ is irreducible and reduced.

It is known from [Bea, E-S, Mar] that the cohomology ring $H^*(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the Chern classes of the bundles $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$. It follows that $H^4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear span of the six integral classes:

$$\epsilon^2, \ \epsilon\,\delta, \ \delta^2, \ \tau_0, \ \tau_1, \ \tau_2. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.1), the rank of $H^4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is 3 when $n = 2$, and is 6 when $n \geq 3$. Therefore, if $n \geq 3$, then a linear basis of $H^4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is given by the six classes in (3.6).

Remark 3.2. When $n = 2$, the rank of $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is different from that of the case $n \geq 3$. Therefore the construction of a basis of $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ in §4 for $n \geq 3$ needs to be modified. However, there is a method to describe the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(2)$ using the moduli spaces of stable sheaves on the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_1$ and chamber structures, which enable us to compute all the Gromov-Witten invariants of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(2)$ (instead of a special kind considered in this paper for $n \geq 3$). Since the method is different, the result for $n = 2$ will appear elsewhere.

3.2. **The boundary and the Uhlenbeck compactification.**

The quasi-projective variety $\mathfrak{M}(n)$ has a Uhlenbeck compactification

$$\overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n) = \coprod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{M}(n-i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^i(X) \tag{3.7}$$

according to [Uhl, LJ1, Mor]. Moreover, there exists a birational morphism, called the *Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism*,

$$\Psi: \ \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) \to \overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n) \tag{3.8}$$

sending $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ to the pair (V^{**}, η) where V^{**} is the double-dual of V and

$$\eta \ = \ \sum_{x \in X} h^0\big(X, (V^{**}/V)_x\big) \ x.$$

It follows that the boundary divisor $\mathfrak{B}$ in (3.4) is contracted by Ψ to the codimension-2 subset $\coprod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{M}(n-i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^i(X)$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{B}_* = \Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ which parametrizes all $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ sitting in exact sequences $0 \to V \to V_1 \to \mathcal{O}_x \to 0$ for some bundle $V_1 \in \mathfrak{M}(n-1)$ and some point $x \in X$. It is an open dense subset of the boundary divisor $\mathfrak{B}$.

To construct a universal sheaf over $\mathfrak{B}_* \times X$, let $\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1}$ be a universal sheaf over $\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$. By [Q-Z], $\mathfrak{B}_* \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})$. For simplicity, write $\mathfrak{B}_* = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})$. Let

$$\pi : \quad \mathfrak{B}_* = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1}) \quad \to \quad \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X \tag{3.9}$$

be the natural projection. Let Δ_X be the diagonal of $X \times X$. Consider the obvious isomorphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$. Then, we have the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X) &\cong \mathbb{P}(\alpha^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1}), \\ \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1}|_{(\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X)} &\cong \tilde{\alpha}^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\tilde{\pi} : \mathfrak{B}_* \times X \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$ is the composition of $\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X$ and the map $\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X \times X \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$ which denotes the projection to the product of the first and third factors, and $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{P}(\alpha^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1}) \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$ is the composition of the natural projection $\mathbb{P}(\alpha^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1}) \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X$ and α. A universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}'$ over $\mathfrak{B}_* \times X$ sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}' \to \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \to \mathcal{O}_{(\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X)}(1) \to 0. \tag{3.11}$$

The following lemma will be used in later sections.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\tilde{\pi}_i$ *be the* i*-th projection on* $\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$*, and let*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{n-1} = 2c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,1}) - c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,2}) - c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,0})$$

where $\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,k} = R^1\tilde{\pi}_{1*}(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \otimes \tilde{\pi}_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))$*. Then as divisors in* $\mathfrak{B}_*$*,*

$$\mathfrak{B}_*|_{\mathfrak{B}_*} = -2\, c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1)\big) + (\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi)^* \mathfrak{D}_{n-1} + 2\, (\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi)^* \ell. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{B}_*$ is open and dense in $\mathfrak{B}$, we see from (3.5) and Definition 3.1 that

$$\mathfrak{B}_*|_{\mathfrak{B}_*} = \mathfrak{B}|_{\mathfrak{B}_*} = \big(2(n-1)c_1(\mathcal{A}_1) - nc_1(\mathcal{A}_2) - nc_1(\mathcal{A}_0)\big)|_{\mathfrak{B}_*}. \tag{3.13}$$

Next, let $0 \le k \le 2$, and let π_i be the i-th projection on $\mathfrak{B}_* \times X$. Then the restriction of π_1 to the subset $(\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X)$ is an isomorphism from $(\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times \Delta_X)$ to $\mathfrak{B}_*$. Thus tensoring (3.11) by $\pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)$ and then applying the functor π_{1*}, we get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1) \otimes (\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell) &\to R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)) \\ &\to R^1\pi_{1*}(\tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\pi_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{\pi}$. Also, $R^1\pi_{1*}\tilde{\pi}^* \cong (\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi)^* R^1\tilde{\pi}_{1*}$ via the trivial base change:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{B}_* \times X \arrow[r, "\pi_1"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"] & \mathfrak{B}_* \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi"] \\ \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X \arrow[r, "\tilde{\pi}_1"] & \mathfrak{M}(n-1). \end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore, rewriting the 3rd term in the above exact sequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1) \otimes (\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell) &\to R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)) \\ &\to (\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,k} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

So the first Chern class $c_1\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big)$ equals

$$c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1)\big) - k(\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi)^* \ell + (\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi)^* c_1\big(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,k}\big), \tag{3.14}$$

and the second Chern class $c_2\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}'\otimes\pi_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big)$ is equal to

$$\big(c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1)\big)-k(\tilde\pi_2\circ\pi)^*\ell\big)\cdot(\tilde\pi_1\circ\pi)^*c_1\big(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,k}\big)+(\tilde\pi_1\circ\pi)^*c_2\big(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,k}\big). \tag{3.15}$$

Finally, we conclude from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\mathfrak{B}_*|_{\mathfrak{B}_*}=-2\,c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1)\big)+2\,(\tilde\pi_2\circ\pi)^*\ell+(\tilde\pi_1\circ\pi)^*\mathfrak{D}$$

where $\mathfrak{D}=2(n-1)c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,1})-nc_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,2})-nc_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,0})$. Let $\epsilon_1,\delta_1\in\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n-1))$ be the counter-parts of $\epsilon,\delta\in\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n))$. By (3.5) and Definition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}0&=\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n-1)-\mathfrak{M}(n-1)\big)|_{\mathfrak{M}(n-1)}\\&=[(n-1)\epsilon_1-2\delta_1]|_{\mathfrak{M}(n-1)}\\&=2(n-2)c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,1})-(n-1)c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,2})-(n-1)c_1(\mathcal{A}^0_{n-1,0}).\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{B}_*|_{\mathfrak{B}_*}=-2\,c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1)\big)+2\,(\tilde\pi_2\circ\pi)^*\ell+(\tilde\pi_1\circ\pi)^*\mathfrak{D}_{n-1}$. □

3.3. Curves in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$.

We shall construct two curves in the Gieseker moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ which freely generate the homology group $H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n),\mathbb{Z})$. One such curve is a fiber $\mathfrak{f}$ of the morphism π from (3.9). The following is the Lemma 3.2 in [Q-Z].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $N_{\mathfrak{f}\subset\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}$ be the normal bundle of $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. Then,*

(i) $\mathfrak{f}\cdot K_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}=0$ *and* $\mathfrak{f}\cdot\mathfrak{B}=-2$*;*

(ii) $N_{\mathfrak{f}\subset\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}^{\oplus(4n-6)}\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}(-2)$*;*

(iii) $T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}|_{\mathfrak{f}}\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}^{\oplus(4n-6)}\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}(-2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}}(2)$*.* □

Next, we shall construct the other curve. Let $n\geq3$, and let ξ consist of n distinct points in general position in $X=\mathbb{P}^2$. If V sits in a nontrivial extension

$$0\to\mathcal{O}_X(-1)\to V\to I_\xi\to0, \tag{3.16}$$

then V is stable and hence $V\in\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. Moreover, since $H^0(X,I_\xi\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(1))=0$, the injection $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)\to V$ is unique up to scalars. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{E}_n=\mathbb{P}\big(\operatorname{Ext}^1(I_\xi,\mathcal{O}_X(-1))\big)\cong\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \tag{3.17}$$

can be regarded as the subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ parametrizing all the sheaves $V\in\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ sitting in nontrivial extensions (3.16). A universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}'$ over $\mathfrak{E}_n\times X$ sits in

$$0\to\pi_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(-\ell)\to\mathcal{E}'\to\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_n}(-1)\otimes\pi_2^*I_\xi\to0. \tag{3.18}$$

Tensoring by $\pi_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)$ and applying π_{1*} lead to the exact sequence:

$$0\to R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}'\otimes\pi_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\to\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_n}(-1)^{\oplus h^1(X,I_\xi(-k))}\to\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_n}^{\oplus h^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X(-(k+1)\ell))}\to0$$

where $0\leq k\leq2$. An easy computation gives rise to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}c_1\big(R^1\pi_{1*}\mathcal{E}'\big)&=-(n-1)\cdot c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_n}(1)\big),\\c_1\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}'\otimes\pi_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big)&=-n\cdot c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_n}(1)\big)\end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2$. It follows immediately from Definition 3.1 that

$$\epsilon|_{\mathfrak{E}_n} = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_n}(1)\big), \quad \delta|_{\mathfrak{E}_n} = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \ge 3$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ be a line in the projective space $\mathfrak{E}_n$.*

- (i) *The homology group $H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$;*
- (ii) *The class $a\mathfrak{f} + b\mathfrak{l} \in H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is effective if and only if $a, b \ge 0$;*
- (iii) *If $C \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is an irreducible curve contracted by the Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism Ψ, then $C = d\mathfrak{f} \in H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ for some positive integer d.*

Proof. (i) Since $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is rational, $H^i\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}\big) = 0$ for all $i \ge 1$. Hence $H^2\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z}\big) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n))$, and $H^2\big(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z}\big)$ is freely generated by ϵ and δ. By (3.19), $\mathfrak{l} \cdot \epsilon = 1$ and $\mathfrak{l} \cdot \delta = 0$. By Definition 3.1 and (3.14), $\mathfrak{f} \cdot \epsilon = 0$ and $\mathfrak{f} \cdot \delta = 1$. Since $H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, $H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$.

(ii) Since $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ are effective, $a\mathfrak{f} + b\mathfrak{l}$ is effective if $a, b \ge 0$. Conversely, if $a\mathfrak{f} + b\mathfrak{l}$ is effective, then $a, b \ge 0$ since the divisor $c\epsilon + d\delta$ is ample if and only if $c, d > 0$.

(iii) By (ii), $C = d\mathfrak{f} + b\mathfrak{l} \in H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ where d and b are nonnegative integers not both zero. Let L be a very ample divisor on $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n)$. Then, $\mathfrak{f} \cdot \Psi^* L = 0$ and $\mathfrak{l} \cdot \Psi^* L \ge 0$. Since $\Psi^* L$ is a nonzero divisor and $H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$, we must have $\mathfrak{l} \cdot \Psi^* L > 0$. Thus, $C \cdot \Psi^* L = 0$ forces $b = 0$. □

4. A basis of $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$

In this section, we assume $n \ge 3$. Then the integral homology group $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ is free of rank 6. In the following, we construct a basis $\{\Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_6\}$ for $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$. This construction makes use of a result due to Hirschowitz and Hulek.

We review the results in [H-H] where complete rational curves were found in $\mathfrak{M}(n)$. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^1$. Fix lines $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$ in general position. For $1 \le i \le n$, let $\phi_i : \Gamma \to \ell_i$ be an isomorphism, and define $Y_i \subset \Gamma \times X$ to be the graph of ϕ_i. For generic choices of $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$, it was proved in [H-H] that $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ are disjoint. Moreover, if $N_{Y/\Gamma \times X}$ denotes the normal bundle of $Y \overset{\text{def}}{=} \coprod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ in $\Gamma \times X$, then $\det(N_{Y/\Gamma \times X}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \times X}(2, 1)|_Y$. Therefore, the element

$$1 \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \times X}(2, 0) \otimes I_Y, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \times X}(0, -1)\big)$$

defines a rank-2 bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ over $\Gamma \times X$ sitting in an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \times X}(0, -1) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n \to \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \times X}(2, 0) \otimes I_Y \to 0 \tag{4.1}$$

such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n|_{\{p\} \times X} \in \mathfrak{M}(n)$ for all $p \in \Gamma$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ induces a non-constant morphism

$$\iota : \Gamma \to \mathfrak{M}(n).$$

Let $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ be the natural projections on $\Gamma \times X$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n,k} = R^1 \tilde{\pi}_{1*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_n \otimes \tilde{\pi}_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)). \tag{4.2}$$

By the Lemma 3.5 in [H-H], the degrees of the bundles $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n,k}$ are

$$a_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 2n-2, & \text{if } k=0, \\ n, & \text{if } k=1, \\ 0, & \text{if } k=2. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.1. (i) Let $n \geq 2$. By (3.3), the condition in Corollarie (6.9.9) of [Gro] is satisfied. Hence the base-change theorem of the first direct image holds for every projective morphism to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ and for the sheaf $\mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)$ with $0 \leq k \leq 2$. For a finite morphism φ from Y onto a subvariety of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$, the intersection numbers of $\varphi(Y)$ with $\epsilon^2, \epsilon \cdot \delta, \delta^2, \tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2$ can be computed on Y, via the projection formula, by pulling back the Chern classes of the first direct images of the sheaf $\mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)$ with $0 \leq k \leq 2$.

(ii) Let $n \geq 3$. Then $(n-1) \geq 2$. In Subsect. 4.2, we will construct a surface $Y = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma \times \{y\})$ admitting a finite morphism onto a surface Ξ_3 of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. This finite morphism factors through the non-constant morphism $\iota : \Gamma \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1)$. Applying (i), we may assume for convenience that $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{M}(n-1)$ and consequently $Y = \Xi_3 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ (in fact, it can be proved that when $n \geq 5$, the morphism $\iota : \Gamma \to \mathfrak{M}(n-1)$ is injective). Similar discussion works for Ξ_4.

4.1. **The homology classes $\Xi_1, \Xi_2 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$.**

Let $n \geq 3$, and assume $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{M}(n-1)$ as pointed out in Remark 4.1 (ii). Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1}) = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma \times X) \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$. Then $\mathbb{P}$ parametrizes all the sheaves $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ sitting in

$$0 \to V \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1}|_{\{p\} \times X} \to \mathcal{O}_x \to 0$$

for some $p \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. We still use π to denote the natural projection

$$\pi : \ \mathbb{P} \to \Gamma \times X.$$

By (3.11), a universal sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ over $\mathbb{P} \times X$ sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \tilde{\pi}^* \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1} \to \mathcal{O}_{(\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^{-1}(\mathbb{P} \times \Delta_X)}(1) \to 0 \tag{4.4}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the composition of $\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X : \mathbb{P} \times X \to \Gamma \times X \times X$ and the projection $\Gamma \times X \times X \to \Gamma \times X$ to the first and third factors.

Let π_i (respectively, $\tilde{\pi}_i$) be the natural projections on $\mathbb{P} \times X$ (respectively, $\Gamma \times X$). By (3.14) and (3.15), $c_1\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big)$ equals

$$c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\big) - k(\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi)^* \ell + (\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi)^* c_1\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n-1,k}\big) \tag{4.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n-1,k}$ is defined in (4.2), and $c_2\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big)$ equals

$$\big(c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\big) - k(\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi)^* \ell\big) \cdot (\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi)^* c_1\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n-1,k}\big). \tag{4.6}$$

In addition, we conclude from (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\big)^2 &= \pi^* c_1\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1}\big) \cdot c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\big) - \pi^* c_2\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1}\big) \\ &= \pi^*(2,-1) \cdot c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\big) - \pi^* \left[(2,0) \cdot (0,-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i\right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Fix a point $p \in \Gamma$ and let $V_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1}|_{\{p\}\times X}$. Consider $\mathbb{P}(V_1) \subset \mathbb{P} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. By Definition 3.1, (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon|_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)} &= 2\pi^*\ell, \\ \delta|_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)} &= c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\big) + (n-1)\,\pi^*\ell, \\ \tau_k|_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)} &= -(r_k-1)\big(c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\big) - k\,\pi^*\ell\big)^2 \\ c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\big)^2 &= -\pi^*\ell \cdot c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\big) - (n-1)\pi^*x \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where by abusing notations, π denotes the natural projection $\mathbb{P}(V_1) \to X$.

Define $\Xi_1 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ to be the surface $\pi^{-1}(\{p\}\times\ell) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1) \subset \mathbb{P} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ where $\ell \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$ is a fixed line. Then we have

$$\begin{gathered} \epsilon^2 \cdot \Xi_1 = 0, \quad \epsilon\cdot\delta\cdot\Xi_1 = 2, \quad \delta^2\cdot\Xi_1 = 2n-3, \\ \tau_0\cdot\Xi_1 = n-2, \quad \tau_1\cdot\Xi_1 = 3n-3, \quad \tau_2\cdot\Xi_1 = 5n-10. \end{gathered} \tag{4.9}$$

Next, define $\Xi_2 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ to be $c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\big)$ regarded as a 2-dimensional cycle in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ via the inclusion $\mathbb{P}(V_1) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. Then

$$\begin{gathered} \epsilon^2\cdot\Xi_2 = 4, \quad \epsilon\cdot\delta\cdot\Xi_2 = 2n-4, \quad \delta^2\cdot\Xi_2 = n^2-5n+5, \\ \tau_0\cdot\Xi_2 = (n-2)^2,\ \tau_1\cdot\Xi_2 = (n-1)(n-5),\ \tau_2\cdot\Xi_2 = (n-2)(n-10). \end{gathered} \tag{4.10}$$

4.2. The homology classes $\Xi_3, \Xi_4 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$.

Fix a line $\ell \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $W = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma\times\ell) \subset \mathbb{P} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ where Γ, $\mathbb{P}$ and π are from the previous subsection. By Definition 3.1, (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon|_W &= \pi^*(2n-4, 2), \\ \delta|_W &= c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_W(1)\big) + \pi^*(n^2-2n-1, n-1), \\ \tau_k|_W &= \pi^*\big(2a_{n-1,k} - 2(r_k-1), (2k+1)(r_k-1)\big)\cdot c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_W(1)\big) \\ &\qquad\qquad + \big((r_k-1)(n-3) - 2ka_{n-1,k}\big)\,\pi^*x \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \Gamma\times\ell$ is a fixed point and by abusing notations, $\pi : W \to \Gamma\times\ell \cong \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ stands for the natural projection. In addition, by (4.7), we conclude that

$$c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_W(1)\big)^2 = \pi^*(2,-1)\,c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_W(1)\big) - (n-3)\,\pi^*x. \tag{4.11}$$

Define $\Xi_3 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ to be the surface $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma\times\{y\}) \subset W \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ where $y \in \ell$ is a fixed point. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{gathered} \epsilon^2\cdot\Xi_3 = 0, \quad \epsilon\cdot\delta\cdot\Xi_3 = 2n-4, \quad \delta^2\cdot\Xi_3 = 2n^2-4n, \\ \tau_0\cdot\Xi_3 = 2n-4, \quad \tau_1\cdot\Xi_3 = 0, \quad \tau_2\cdot\Xi_3 = -2n+4. \end{gathered} \tag{4.12}$$

Define $\Xi_4 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{C})$ to be $c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_W(1)\big)$ regarded as a 2-dimensional cycle in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ via the inclusion $W \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{gathered} \epsilon^2\cdot\Xi_4 = 8(n-2),\ \epsilon\cdot\delta\cdot\Xi_4 = 4n^2-12n+10,\ \delta^2\cdot\Xi_4 = 2n^3-8n^2+9n-1, \\ \tau_0\cdot\Xi_4 = n^2-5n+6,\ \tau_1\cdot\Xi_4 = n^2-1,\ \tau_2\cdot\Xi_4 = (n-2)(n+9). \end{gathered} \tag{4.13}$$

4.3. **The homology class** $\Xi_5 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$.

Since $n \geq 3$, the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(n-2)$ is nonempty. Fix a vector bundle $V_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(n-2)$ and two distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Let $\Xi_5 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ parametrize all the sheaves $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ sitting in exact sequences:

$$0 \to V \to V_2 \to \mathcal{O}_{x_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_2} \to 0.$$

Then we have the isomorphisms $\Xi_5 \cong \mathbb{P}(V|_{x_1}) \times \mathbb{P}(V|_{x_2}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover, a universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}'$ over $\Xi_5 \times X$ sits in the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}' \to \pi_2^* V_2 \to \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{x_1\}} \oplus \tilde{\pi}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{x_2\}} \to 0$$

where π_i and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ denote the i-th projection on $\Xi_5 \times X$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ respectively. Tensoring the above exact sequence by $\pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))$ and applying π_{1*} yield

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\Xi_5}(1,0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Xi_5}(0,1) \to R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\Xi_5}^{\oplus h^1(X, V_2(-k))} \to 0$$

where $0 \leq k \leq 2$. Therefore $c_1\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big) = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\Xi_5}(1,1)\big)$ and $c_2\big(R^1\pi_{1*}(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big) = 1$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2$. It follows from Definition 3.1 that

$$\epsilon|_{\Xi_5} = 0, \quad \delta|_{\Xi_5} = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\Xi_5}(1,1)\big).$$

Regarding $\Xi_5 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$, we obtain the intersection numbers on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$:

$$\epsilon^2 \cdot \Xi_5 = \epsilon \cdot \delta \cdot \Xi_5 = 0, \quad \delta^2 \cdot \Xi_5 = 2, \tau_0 \cdot \Xi_5 = \tau_1 \cdot \Xi_5 = \tau_2 \cdot \Xi_5 = 2. \tag{4.14}$$

4.4. **The surface** Ξ_6 **in** $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$.

Fix a vector bundle $V_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(n-2)$ and a point $x \subset X$. Fix a trivialization of V_2 in an open neighborhood O_x of x. Let $X^{[2]}$ be the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the length-2 closed subschemes of X, and let

$$M_2(x) = \{\xi \in X^{[2]} | \operatorname{Supp}(\xi) = \{x\}\} \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$$

For $\xi \in M_2(x)$, let $\iota_\xi : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_\xi$ be the natural quotient morphism.

Let $\Xi_6 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x)$ be the subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ parametrizing all the sheaves $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ sitting in extensions of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V_2 \xrightarrow{(a,b)} \mathcal{O}_\xi \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\xi \in M_2(x)$, and for $(a,b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the map $V_2 \xrightarrow{(a,b)} \mathcal{O}_\xi$ denotes the composition:

$$V_2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad V_2|_{O_x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{O_x}^{\oplus 2} \quad \xrightarrow{(a\iota_\xi, b\iota_\xi)} \quad \mathcal{O}_\xi.$$

Next, we construction a universal sheaf over $\Xi_6 \times X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x) \times X$. Let $\pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$ denote the projection of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x) \times X$ to the product of the i_1-th, $\ldots$, i_m-th factors. Over $\Xi_6 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x)$, there is a tautological surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\Xi_6}^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\Xi_6}(1,0) \to 0$. This pulls back to a surjection over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x) \times X$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Xi_6 \times X}^{\oplus 2} \to \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \to 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}_2(x)$ be the universal codimension-2 subscheme in $M_2(x) \times X$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{M_2(x)\times X} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2(x)} \to 0$ be the natural surjection. Pulling back to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x) \times X$ yields a surjection:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Xi_6 \times X} \to \pi_{2,3}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2(x)} \to 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Tensoring (4.15) and (4.16), we obtain a surjection

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Xi_6 \times X}^{\oplus 2} \to \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes \pi_{2,3}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2(x)} \to 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Since $\mathcal{Z}_2(x)$ is supported on $M_2(x) \times \{x\}$, in view of the trivialization of V_2 near x, (4.17) induces a surjection $\pi_3^* V_2 \to \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes \pi_{2,3}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2(x)} \to 0$. The kernel $\mathcal{E}'$ of the map $\pi_3^* V_2 \to \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes \pi_{2,3}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2(x)}$ is a universal sheaf over $\Xi_6 \times X$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}' \to \pi_3^* V_2 \to \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes \pi_{2,3}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2(x)} \to 0$$

It follows that for $0 \le k \le 2$, we have the exact sequence over Ξ_6:

$$0 \to \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes \tilde{\pi}_2^* \big(\mathcal{O}_X^{[2]}|_{M_2(x)}\big) \to R^1(\pi_{1,2})_*(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_3^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\Xi_6}^{\oplus h^1(X, V_2(-k))} \to 0$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ are the two natural projections on $\Xi_6 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times M_2(x)$, and $\mathcal{O}_X^{[2]}$ is the tautological rank-2 bundle over the Hilbert scheme $X^{[2]}$ whose fiber at a point $\xi \in X^{[2]}$ is the space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_\xi)$. It is well-known that $-2c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_X^{[2]}\big) = M_2(X)$ where $M_2(X) \subset X^{[2]}$ consists of all the elements $\xi \in X^{[2]}$ such that $|\mathrm{Supp}(\xi)| = 1$. Since $M_2(X) \cdot M_2(x) = -2$, we conclude from the above exact sequence that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\big(R^1(\pi_{1,2})_*(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_3^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big) &= (2,1) \in \mathrm{Pic}(\Xi_6) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \\ c_2\big(R^1(\pi_{1,2})_*(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \pi_3^* \mathcal{O}_X(-k\ell))\big) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 3.1, we get the six intersection numbers on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$:

$$\begin{gathered} \epsilon^2 \cdot \Xi_6 = 0, \quad \epsilon \cdot \delta \cdot \Xi_6 = 0, \quad \delta^2 \cdot \Xi_6 = 4, \\ \tau_0 \cdot \Xi_6 = -2n + 6, \quad \tau_1 \cdot \Xi_6 = -2n + 4, \quad \tau_2 \cdot \Xi_6 = -2n + 6. \end{gathered} \tag{4.18}$$

Now we can summarize the above in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $n \ge 3$. Then $\{\Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_6\}$ is a linear basis of $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Note from (4.9), (4.10), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.18) that the intersection matrix between the classes $\Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_6$ and the classes $\epsilon^2, \epsilon \cdot \delta, \delta^2, \tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2$ has a nonzero determinant. Since $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$ has dimension 6, our result follows. ☐

5. The restriction of the obstruction sheaf on certain open subset

From the previous section, we see that, if we let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_*(n) = \mathfrak{B}_* \cup \mathfrak{M}(n)$, then the classes $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \Xi_3, \Xi_4$ lie in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_*(n)$ while Ξ_5 and Ξ_6 lie in the complement $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) - \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_*(n)$. Since a stable map $[\mu\colon (D, p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ in $ev_1^{-1}(\Xi_i) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ has $\mu(p) \in \Xi_i$ for $1 \le i \le 4$, we have $\mu(D) \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$. In this section, we use the geometric construction of $\mathfrak{B}_*$ in Subsect. 3.2 to effectively compute the virtual cycle restricted to $ev_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_*)$. The result will be used to compute the Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0, d\mathfrak{f}}$ when α is dual to the classes $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \Xi_3, \Xi_4$.

Fix $d \geq 1$. Consider the open subset $\mathfrak{O}_0$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ consisting of stable maps $[\mu\colon D \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ such that $\mu(D) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_*(n)$. Similarly, take the open subset $\mathfrak{O}_1$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ consisting of stable maps $[\mu\colon (D;p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ such that $\mu(D) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_*(n)$. Clearly $\mathfrak{O}_1 = f_{1,0}^{-1}(\mathfrak{O}_0)$. Let $[\mu\colon (D;p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)] \in \mathfrak{O}_1$. Since $\mu(D) = d\mathfrak{f}$ in $H_2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n);\mathbb{Z})$, $\mu(D) \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$ and $\mu(D)$ is a fiber of the projection π. Moreover, the composition $\Psi \circ ev_1$ sends the stable map $[\mu\colon (D;p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ to a point in $\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X \subset \overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n)$, which is independent of the marked point p on D. Hence ev_1 induces a morphism ϕ from $\mathfrak{O}_0$ to $\mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$. Putting $\widetilde{ev}_1 = ev_1|_{\mathfrak{O}_1}$ and $\tilde{f}_{1,0} = f_{1,0}|_{\mathfrak{O}_1}$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{O}_1 \arrow[r, "\widetilde{ev}_1"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}_{1,0}"] & \mathfrak{B}_* \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \\
\mathfrak{O}_0 \arrow[r, "\phi"] & \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X \arrow[r, "\tilde{\pi}_2"] & X.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that the fiber $\phi^{-1}(V_1, x)$ over a point $(V_1, x) \in \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$ is simply

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}\big(\pi^{-1}(V_1,x), d[\pi^{-1}(V_1,x)]\big)$$

which is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$ via the isomorphism $\pi^{-1}(V_1,x) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Hence the complex dimension of the open subset $\mathfrak{O}_0 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1]) + \dim \mathfrak{M}(n-1) + \dim X \\
= \;& (2d-2) + [4(n-1) - 4] + 2 \\
= \;& 2d + 4n - 8.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $K_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)} \cdot d\mathfrak{f} = 0$, the expected dimension of $\mathfrak{O}_0 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ is $(4n-7)$ by (2.2). Hence the excess dimension of $\mathfrak{O}_0$ is $e = (2d-1)$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the restriction of $R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}$ to $\mathfrak{O}_0$.

Lemma 5.1. (i) *The sheaf* $\mathcal{V}$ *is locally free of rank* $(2d-1)$*;*

(ii) $\mathcal{V} \cong R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(\mathfrak{B}_*)$.

Proof. (i) Take a stable map $u = [\mu\colon D \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ in $\mathfrak{O}_0$, and consider

$$H^1(f_{1,0}^{-1}(u), (ev_1^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)})|_{f_{1,0}^{-1}(u)}) \cong H^1(D, \mu^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}).$$

Since $\mu(D) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a fiber of the projection π, we see from Lemma 3.4 (iii) that

$$T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}|_{\mu(D)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}^{\oplus(4n-6)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}(2).$$

Thus $H^1(D, \mu^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}) \cong H^1(D, \mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}(-2))$ whose dimension equals the excess dimension $e = (2d-1)$. Therefore, the restriction $\mathcal{V}$ of $R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}$ to $\mathfrak{O}_0$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $(2d-1)$.

(ii) Since $ev_1(\mathfrak{O}_1) \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$, we have $((ev_1)^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)})|_{\mathfrak{O}_1} = (\widetilde{ev}_1)^*(T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_*(n)}|_{\mathfrak{B}_*})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} &= (R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)})|_{\mathfrak{O}_0} = R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\big(((ev_1)^*T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)})|_{\mathfrak{O}_1}\big) \\
&= R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*(T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}|_{\mathfrak{B}_*}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{B}_*$ is smooth of codimension-1 in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{\mathfrak{B}_*} \to T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}|_{\mathfrak{B}_*} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(\mathfrak{B}_*) \to 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Applying $(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*$ and $(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*$ to the exact sequence (5.2), we get

$$R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*T_{\mathfrak{B}_*} \to \mathcal{V} \to R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(\mathfrak{B}_*) \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$

where we have used $R^2(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*T_{\mathfrak{B}_*} = 0$ since $\tilde{f}_{1,0}$ is of relative dimension 1.

If $[\mu\colon D \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ is a stable map in $\mathfrak{D}_0$, then $\mu(D)$ is a fiber of the projection π in (3.9). Hence the normal bundle of $\mu(D)$ in $\mathfrak{B}_*$ is trivial. Therefore we have $T_{\mathfrak{B}_*}|_{\mu(D)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}^{\oplus(4n-6)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}(2)$, and $H^1(D, \mu^*T_{\mathfrak{B}_*}) \cong H^1(D, \mu^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}^{\oplus(4n-6)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mu(D)}(2))) = 0$. It follows that $R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*T_{\mathfrak{B}_*} = 0$. □

Proposition 5.2. *Put $\mathcal{L} = \det(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})$. Then $\mathcal{V}$ sits in the exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to \phi^*\big(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\pi}_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}(n-1)}(\mathfrak{D}_{n-1}) \otimes \tilde{\pi}_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(2\ell)\big) \to \mathcal{V} \\ &\to R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\widetilde{ev}_1^*\big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big) \otimes \phi^*\big(\tilde{\pi}_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}(n-1)}(\mathfrak{D}_{n-1}) \otimes \tilde{\pi}_2^*\mathcal{O}_X(2\ell)\big) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathfrak{B}_* = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})$. The kernel of the tautological surjection $\pi^*\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1) \to 0$ is a line bundle. By comparing the first Chern classes, we get

$$0 \to \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1) \to \pi^*\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(1) \to 0.$$

Tensoring with $\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-2) \to \pi^*\big(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}\big) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1) \to \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \to 0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \cong (\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})^*$. Applying $\widetilde{ev}_1^*$ to the above exact sequence yields

$$0 \to \widetilde{ev}_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-2) \to \widetilde{ev}_1^*\big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big) \to (\pi \circ \widetilde{ev}_1)^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \to 0.$$

By (5.1), $\pi \circ \widetilde{ev}_1 = \phi \circ \tilde{f}_{1,0}$. Rewriting the 3rd term, we have

$$0 \to \widetilde{ev}_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-2) \to \widetilde{ev}_1^*\big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big) \to \tilde{f}_{1,0}^*\phi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \to 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Applying the functor $(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*$ to (5.4), we get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;&\to\; \phi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \to R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(\widetilde{ev}_1)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-2) \\ &\to\; R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\widetilde{ev}_1^*\big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the projection formula, $(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{D}_0}$, and

$$R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{D}_1} = 0, \qquad (\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\widetilde{ev}_1^*\big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}^0_{n-1})^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big) = 0.$$

By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain the desired exact sequence for $\mathcal{V}$. □

Remark 5.3. Fix a point $(V_1, x) \in \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$. Via $\phi^{-1}(V_1, x) \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$, the restriction of $R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*\widetilde{ev}_1^*\big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}^0_1)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big)$ to $\phi^{-1}(V_1, x)$ is isomorphic to

$$R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$$

where by abusing notations, we still use $f_{1,0}$ and ev_1 to denote the forgetful map and the evaluation map from $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$ and $\mathbb{P}^1$ respectively.

6. The virtual fundamental class $\left[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\right]^{\mathbf{vir}}$

As we saw in the construction of the classes Ξ_i, Ξ_5 and Ξ_6 don't lie in $\mathfrak{B}_*$. The method to compute the virtual cycle restricted to $ev_1^{-1}(\Xi_i)$ in the previous section won't work for $i = 5, 6$. In this section, we shall employ the localization method of Kiem-Li to find a sufficiently small closed subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ containing the image of the virtual cycle under the evaluation map ev_1. The result will be used to show the vanishing of the Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$ when α is dual to Ξ_5, Ξ_6.

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and let $C_0 \subset X$ be a smooth cubic curve. Recall that the Zariski tangent space of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ at $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is canonically $\operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V)$. Therefore, the natural map $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0) \to \mathcal{O}_X$ induces a meromorphic 2-form Θ on the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ given point-wisely by

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V)^* \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V \otimes K_X) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V). \tag{6.1}$$

Note that Θ is holomorphic at $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ if (6.1) is an isomorphism.

The constructions in [K-L, L-L] show that the meromorphic 2-form Θ on the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ induces a meromorphic homomorphism:

$$\eta : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O} \tag{6.2}$$

over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$. Here $\mathcal{E}$ is a suitable bundle on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ such that

$$\left[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\right]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in H_{2(4n-6)}(\Lambda)$$

where $\Lambda \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})$ is the degeneracy loci consisting of points at which either the map η is undefined or not surjective.

Next, we analyze the degeneracy loci Λ. Let $[\mu : (D; p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)] \in \Lambda$. Since $\mu_*[D] = d\mathfrak{f}$, $\mu(D)$ is contracted to a point by the Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism Ψ.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $[\mu : (D; p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)] \in \Lambda$, *and put*

$$(\Psi \circ \mu)(D) = (V_k; \zeta) \in \mathfrak{M}(n-k) \times \operatorname{Sym}^k(X) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n)$$

for some $1 \le k \le (n-1)$. *Then, either* $\operatorname{Supp}(\zeta) \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$ *or* $V_k|_{C_0}$ *is not stable.*

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{Supp}(\zeta) \cap C_0 = \emptyset$ and $V_k|_{C_0}$ is stable. We will draw a contradiction by showing that η is both defined and surjective at $[\mu : (D; p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$.

Note that η is defined at $[\mu : (D; p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$ if Θ is holomorphic along $\mu(D)$. Let $V \in \mu(D)$. Then, $V^{**} = V_k$ and we have $0 \to V \to V_k \to Q \to 0$ where Q is a torsion sheaf with $\sum_{x \in X} h^0(X, Q_x)\, x = \zeta$. So $V|_{C_0} \cong V_k|_{C_0}$ is locally free and stable. From $0 \to K_X \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_{C_0} \to 0$, we get $0 \to V \otimes K_X \to V \to V|_{C_0} \to 0$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(V, \cdot)$, we obtain a long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, V \otimes K_X) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, V) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, V|_{C_0}) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V \otimes K_X) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V). \end{aligned}$$

Since V and $V_k|_{C_0}$ are stable, we have $\operatorname{Hom}(V, V) \cong \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Hom}(V, V \otimes K_X) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(V, V|_{C_0}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(V_k|_{C_0}, V_k|_{C_0}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. The above exact sequence is simplified to

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V \otimes K_X) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(V, V).$$

Since $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(V, V \otimes K_X) = \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(V, V)$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(V, V \otimes K_X) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(V, V).$$

Hence the meromorphic 2-form Θ is defined at $V \in \mu(D)$. This proves that Θ is holomorphic along $\mu(D)$. So η is defined at $[\mu : (D; p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$.

The above argument also shows that $\Theta|_{\mu(D)}$ is an isomorphism. Since μ is not a constant map, the image of $\mu_* : T_{D_{\mathrm{reg}}} \to T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}$ does not lie in the null space of $\Theta : T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)} \dashrightarrow \big(T_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)}\big)^*$, where D_{reg} denotes the smooth part of D. By the vanishing criterion in [K-L], η is surjective at $[\mu : (D; p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)]$. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $C_0 \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth cubic curve. Let $n \geq 1$, and let $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ be generic. Then, the restriction $V|_{C_0}$ is stable.*

Proof. It is well-known that the cotangent bundle Ω_X is stable. So $\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(1)$. Let ξ consist of $(n-1)$ distinct points away from C_0. Choose a surjection $\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) \to \mathcal{O}_\xi$, and let V_0 be the kernel. Then $V_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ and $V_0|_{C_0} \cong \big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}$. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ is irreducible and the open subset $\mathfrak{M}(n)$ is nonempty, our lemma will follow if we can prove that $\big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}$ is stable.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{C_0}(D)$ be any sub-line-bundle of $\big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}$. Note that the degree of $\big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}$ is -3, and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_0}^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0} \to 0 \tag{6.3}$$

induced from the exact sequence $0 \to \Omega_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0$. Thus

$$\deg(D) \leq 0. \tag{6.4}$$

If $\deg(D) = 0$, then D must be the trivial divisor and $\mathcal{O}_{C_0}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{C_0}$. So $H^0\big(C_0, \big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}\big) \neq 0$. On the other hand, (6.3) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0\big(C_0, \big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}\big) \to H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}^{\oplus 3}\big) \xrightarrow{f_0} H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0}\big).$$

The image of f_0 is $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))|_{C_0} = H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0}\big)$. So f_0 is surjective. Since $H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}^{\oplus 3}\big)$ and $H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0}\big)$ have the same dimension, f_0 is an isomorphism and $H^0\big(C_0, \big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}\big) = 0$. Hence we obtain a contradiction.

If $\deg(D) = -1$, then $\mathcal{O}_{C_0}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(-x)$ for a unique $x \in C_0$. So the map

$$H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(x)^{\oplus 3}\big) \xrightarrow{f_1} H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(x)\big).$$

induced from (6.3) is not injective. On the other hand, since $H^0(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(x)) \cong \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{im}(f_1) &= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))|_{C_0} \otimes H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(x)\big) \\ &= H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0}\big) \otimes H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(x)\big) \\ &\subset H^0\big(C_0, \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{C_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(x)\big). \end{aligned}$$

So the linear system corresponding to $\mathrm{im}(f_1)$ consists of all the elements $\ell|_{C_0} + x$ where ℓ denotes lines in X. In particular, the dimension of $\mathrm{im}(f_1)$ is 3. Thus f_1 must be injective. Again, we obtain a contradiction.

By (6.4), $\deg(D) \leq -2$. Therefore, $\big(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)|_{C_0}$ is stable. $\square$

Definition 6.3. For $n \geq 2$, we define $\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$) to be the subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ consisting of all the non-locally free sheaves V such that $V|_{C_0}$ contains torsion (respectively, $V|_{C_0}$ is torsion-free and unstable).

Lemma 6.4. *Let* $V \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n) - \mathfrak{M}(n)$ *and* $\Psi(V) = (V_k; \zeta)$. *Then,*

(i) $V \in \mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n)$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{Supp}(\zeta) \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$*;*

(ii) $V \in \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{Supp}(\zeta) \cap C_0 = \emptyset$ *and* $V_k|_{C_0}$ *is unstable.* ☐

Lemma 6.5. (i) $(ev_1)(\Lambda) \subset \mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n) \coprod \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$*;*

(ii) *Both* $\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n)$ *and* $\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n) \coprod \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$ *are closed subsets of* $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, the image of a point in $(ev_1)(\Lambda)$ under Ψ is of the form

$$(V_k; \zeta) \in \mathfrak{M}(n-k) \times \operatorname{Sym}^k(X) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{U}}(n)$$

where $1 \leq k \leq (n-1)$, and either $\operatorname{Supp}(\zeta) \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$ or $V_k|_{C_0}$ is not stable. So we see from Lemma 6.4 that $(ev_1)(\Lambda) \subset \mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n) \coprod \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$. This proves (i).

Since being torsion-free and being stable are open conditions, both $\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n) \coprod \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)$ are closed subsets of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$. This proves (ii). ☐

7. The 1-point Gromov-Witten invariants

Now we are ready to compute the 1-point Gromov-Witten invariants

$$\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = \int_{\left[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\right]^{\text{vir}}} ev_1^* \alpha \tag{7.1}$$

where $\alpha \in H^{8n-12}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$ denotes the Poincaré duals of the classes $\Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_6 \in H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$. By abusing notations, we use Ξ_i to stand for both the class in $H_4(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$ and its Poincaré dual in $H^{8n-12}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 7.1. $\langle \Xi_1 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = -6/d^2$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \Xi_1$. By Subsect. 4.1, $\Xi_1 = \pi^{-1}(\{V_1\} \times \ell) = \mathbb{P}(V_1|_\ell)$ where the stable vector bundle $V_1 \in \mathfrak{M}(n-1)$ and the line $\ell \subset X$ are fixed. Let $\mathfrak{M} = (ev_1)^{-1}(\Xi_1)$. Then $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{D}_1$. By Prop. 5.2 and Prop. 2.1 for $k = 1$ and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} &= \int_{\left[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\right]^{\text{vir}}} (ev_1)^* \alpha = \int_{(\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-1}(\mathcal{V})} (ev_1)^* \alpha \\
&= \int_{(\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* \phi^*(-\mathcal{L} + \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathfrak{D}_{n-1} + 2\tilde{\pi}_2^* \ell) \cdot (\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E})} (ev_1)^* \alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

where $\mathbb{E} = R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_* \widetilde{ev}_1^* \big(\pi^*(\mathcal{E}_1^0)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(-1)\big) \otimes \phi^* \big(\tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}(n-1)}(\mathfrak{D}_{n-1}) \otimes \tilde{\pi}_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(2\ell)\big)$. Note that $\Xi_1 \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$ and $\phi \circ \tilde{f}_{1,0} = \pi \circ \widetilde{ev}_1$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} \\
= \; & (\widetilde{ev}_1)^* \pi^*(-\mathcal{L} + \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathfrak{D}_{n-1} + 2\tilde{\pi}_2^* \ell) \cdot (\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\widetilde{ev}_1)^* \big([\Xi_1] \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(\mathfrak{B}_*))\big) \\
= \; & (\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\widetilde{ev}_1)^* \Big(\pi^*(-\mathcal{L} + \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathfrak{D}_{n-1} + 2\tilde{\pi}_2^* \ell) \cdot [\Xi_1] \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(\mathfrak{B}_*))\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the definitions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{n-1}$ in Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 3.3. We have

$$\mathcal{L} = \det\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n-1}\big) = (2,-1) \ \in \ \mathrm{Pic}(\Gamma \times X) \tag{7.3}$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{n-1} = 2a_{n-1,1} - a_{n-1,2} - a_{n-1,0} = 2 \ \in \ \mathrm{Pic}(\Gamma) \tag{7.4}$$

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}_*}(\mathfrak{B}_*))|_{\mathbb{P}} = -2c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\big) + \pi^*(2,2) \ \in \ \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}) \tag{7.5}$$

in view of the exact sequence (4.1), the degrees in (4.3) and Lemma 3.3. Thus,

$$\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = (\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\widetilde{ev}_1)^* \Big(\pi^*(0,3) \cdot [\Xi_1] \cdot \big(- 2c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) + \pi^*(2,2)\big)\Big). \tag{7.6}$$

Since $[\Xi_1] = \pi^*(\{V_1\} \times \ell)$, it follows immediately that

$$\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = -6 \cdot (\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\widetilde{ev}_1)^*[\xi] \tag{7.7}$$

where $[\xi]$ denotes the cycle of a fixed point ξ in $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_1' = (\widetilde{ev}_1)^{-1}(\xi) = (ev_1)^{-1}(\xi)$. If $[\mu\colon (D;p) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)] \in \mathfrak{M}_1'$, then $\mu(p) = \xi$ and $\pi(\mu(D)) = \pi(\mu(p)) = \pi(\xi) = (V_1, x) \in \mathfrak{M}(n-1) \times X$. So $\mu(D) = \mathfrak{f}$ which denotes the unique fiber of π from (3.9) containing the point $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}_*$. Thus the restriction of the forgetful map $\tilde{f}_{1,0}$ to $\mathfrak{M}_1'$ gives a degree-d morphism from $\mathfrak{M}_1'$ to

$$\mathfrak{M}_0' \overset{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{f}_{1,0}(\mathfrak{M}_1') = \phi^{-1}(V_1, x).$$

Hence, as algebraic cycles, we have $(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*[\mathfrak{M}_1'] = d[\mathfrak{M}_0'] = d \cdot \phi^*[(V_1,x)]$. By (7.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} &= -6 \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*[\mathfrak{M}_1'] = -6d \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot \phi^*[(V_1,x)] \\ &= -6d \cdot c_{2d-2}\left(\mathbb{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(V_1,x)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

By Remark 5.3, $\mathbb{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(V_1,x)} \cong R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$ where $f_{1,0}$ and ev_1 denote the forgetful map and the evaluation map from the moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$ and $\mathbb{P}^1$ respectively. We have

$$c_{2d-2}\left(R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))\right) = \frac{1}{d^3}$$

by the Theorem 9.2.3 in [C-K]. Therefore, $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = -6/d^2$ by (7.8). ☐

For $i = 2, 3$ or 4, we may assume that the classes Ξ_2, Ξ_3 and Ξ_4 are represented by complex surfaces in $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$. The same proofs of (7.6) and (7.7) show that

$$\langle \Xi_i \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = a_i \cdot (\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\widetilde{ev}_1)^*[\xi]$$

where $[\xi]$ denotes the cycle of a fixed point ξ in $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathfrak{B}_*$, and

$$a_i = \pi^*(0,3) \cdot [\Xi_i] \cdot \big(- 2c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) + \pi^*(2,2)\big) \tag{7.9}$$

is the intersection number in $\mathbb{P}$. Note from the last two paragraphs in the proof of Lemma 7.1 that $(\tilde{f}_{1,0})^* c_{2d-2}(\mathbb{E}) \cdot (\widetilde{ev}_1)^*[\xi] = 1/d^2$. Therefore,

$$\langle \Xi_i \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = \frac{a_i}{d^2}. \tag{7.10}$$

Theorem 7.2. *Let $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$. The Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}}$ for the classes $\alpha = \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_1), \ldots, \mathrm{PD}(\Xi_6) \in H^{8n-12}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n); \mathbb{C})$ are respectively equal to*

$$-6/d^2, \quad 12/d^2, \quad 0, \quad -6/d^2, \quad 0, \quad 0.$$

Proof. First of all, $\langle \Xi_1 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = -6/d^2$ is Lemma 7.1.

Next, $\langle \Xi_2 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = 12/d^2$ follows from the computation of the number in (7.9):

$$a_2 = 3\pi^*\ell \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)) \cdot \big(-2c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)) + 2\pi^*\ell \big) = 12$$

by (4.8), where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(V_1) \to X$ denotes the tautological projection.

Since $\Xi_3 = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma \times \{y\})$ with $y \in X$, $\langle \Xi_3 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = 0$ comes from the computation

$$a_3 = \pi^*(0,3) \cdot [\Xi_3] \cdot \big(-2c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) + \pi^*(2,2) \big) = 0. \tag{7.11}$$

Similary, $\langle \Xi_4 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = -6/d^2$ follows from the computation

$$a_4 = \pi^*(0,3) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_W(1)) \cdot \big(-2c_1(\mathcal{O}_W(1)) + \pi^*(2,2) \big) = -6$$

by (4.11), where $W = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma \times \ell) \subset \mathbb{P} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n)$ for a fixed line $\ell \subset X$.

To prove $\langle \Xi_5 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = 0$, choose the vector bundle $V_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(n-2)$ and the distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ in Subsect. 4.3 such that $V_2|_{C_0}$ is stable and $x_1, x_2 \notin C_0$. By Lemma 6.4, $\Xi_5 \cap \big(\mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n) \cup \mathfrak{U}_{C_0}(n)\big) = \emptyset$. Hence $\Xi_5 \cap (ev_1)(\Lambda) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 6.5 (i). Since $\big[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\big]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in H_{2(4n-6)}(\Lambda)$, we get

$$\langle \Xi_5 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = \int_{\big[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\big]^{\mathrm{vir}}} ev_1^*\Xi_5 = ev_{1*}\big[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\big]^{\mathrm{vir}} \cdot \Xi_5 = 0. \tag{7.12}$$

Finally, to prove $\langle \Xi_6 \rangle_{0,d\mathfrak{f}} = 0$, choose the vector bundle $V_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(n-2)$ and the point $x \in X$ in Subsect. 4.4 such that $V_2|_{C_0}$ is stable and $x \notin C_0$. Now our result follows from the same proof in the previous paragraph. $\square$

Remark 7.3. Let $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$. Using the Theorem above, one can show that

$$ev_{1*}\big[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(n), d\mathfrak{f})\big]^{\mathrm{vir}} = 1/d^2 \; \mathfrak{T}_{C_0}(n).$$

References

[Bea] A. Beauville, *Sur la cohomologie de certains espaces de modules de fibrés vectoriels*, Geometry and Analysis (Bombay, 1992), 37-40, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995.

[Beh] K. Behrend, *Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997) 601-617.

[B-F] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997) 45-88.

[C-K] D. Cox, S. Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **68**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999).

[E-S] G. Ellingsrud, S. Strømme, *Towards the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme of* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. reine angew. Math. **441** (1993), 33-44.

[Ful] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge **2**. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1994.

[F-P] W. Fulton, R. Pandharipande, *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology.* Algebraic Geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 45-96, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **62**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997).

[Get] E. Getzler, *Intersection theory on* $\overline{M}_{1,4}$ *and elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants*, J. AMS **10** (1997) 973-998.

[Gro] A. Grothendieck, *Étude Cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents*, EGA III, Publ. IHES, No. **17** (1963).

[H-H] A. Hirschowitz, K. Hulek, *Complete families of stable vector bundles over* $\mathbb{P}_2$. With an appendix by K. Hulek and S. A. Strømme. Lecture Notes in Math. **1194**, Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry (Gottingen, 1985), 19-40, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[K-L] Y. Kiem, J. Li, *Gromov-Witten invariants of varieties with holomorphic* 2*-forms.* Preprint.

[LJ1] J. Li, *Algebraic geometric interpretation of Donaldson's polynomial invariants*, J. Differ. Geom. **37** (1993), 417-466.

[LJ2] J. Li, *Kodaira dimension of moduli space of vector bundles on surfaces*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 1-40.

[L-L] J. Li, W.-P. Li, *Two point extremal Gromov-Witten invariants of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.* Preprint.

[LT1] J. Li, G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. A.M.S. **11** (1998) 19-174.

[LT2] J. Li, G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of general symplectic manifolds*, Topics in symplectic 4-manifolds (Irvine, CA, 1996), First Int. Press Lect. Ser., I, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, (1998) 47–83.

[L-Q] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, *On* 1*-point Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.* Proceedings of 8th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference (2001). Turkish J. Math. **26** (2002), 53-68.

[Mar] E. Markman, *Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces.* Adv. Math. **208** (2007), 622-646.

[Mor] J.W. Morgan, *Comparison of the Donaldson polynomial invariants with their algebro-geometric analogues*, Topology **32** (1993), 449-488.

[Q-Z] Z. Qin, Q. Zhang, *On the crepancy of the Gieseker-Uhlenbeck morphism.* Asian J. Math. **12** (2008), 213-224.

[Str] S.A. Strømme, *Ample divisors on fine moduli spaces on the projective plane*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), 405-423.

[Uhl] K. Uhlenbeck, *Removable singularity in Yang-Mills fields*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), 11-29.

[Yos] K. Yoshioka, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank* 2 *on* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. reine angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193-220.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HKUST, CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG
E-mail address: `mawpli@ust.hk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address: `qinz@missouri.edu`